## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America	)			
V.	) Case No:	DNCW 506CR	000037-010	
DAVID FRANKLIN HAYMORE	USM No:	20986-058		
Date of Original Judgment: June 30, 2008	)			
Date of Last Amended Judgment: N/A	Ross Richardson			
	Defendant's A	ttorney		
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence R	eduction Pursu	ant to 18 U.S.C	c. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Directo \$ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imsubsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the Uni \$ 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking in and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a),	posed based on a ted States Senter to account the po	a guideline sente neing Commissic olicy statement so	encing range that has on pursuant to 28 U.S.C. et forth at USSG §1B1.10	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:				
■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's			imprisonment (as reflected in	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RAN	GE (Prior to Any	Departures)		
Original Offense Level: 29	•	ffense Level:	29	
Criminal History Category: I		story Category:	I	
, , <u> </u>		, ,	87 to 108 months	
Original Guideline Range: 87 to 108 months (§5C1.2)	Amended G	uideline Range:	(§5C1.2)	
<ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDEL</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline rate of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably lessor the reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rate.</li> <li>□ Other (explain): Since this offense did not involve crack.</li> </ul>	nge. In the guideline is than the amenage.	ded guideline rar	nge.	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment da	ted June 30, 2	2008, sh	nall remain in effect.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.				
Order Date: April 11, 2012	Mul	May X	1 Joshus	
Effective Date:		L. Voorhees States District Jud	dge	